Balmer, M., K. Meister, M. Rieser, K. Nagel and K.W. Axhausen (2008) Agent-based simulation of travel demand: Structure and computational performance of MATSim-T, paper presented at the TRB conference *Innovations in Travel Modeling 2008*, Portland, June 2008.

# Switzerland in a box: An agent-based model of travel demand and traffic flow

KW Axhausen and M Balmer

June 2008





Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

# Agent-based simulation of travel demand: Structure and computational performance of MATSim-T

KW Axhausen and M Balmer

June 2008





Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich Software:

• Open-source project under GNU public licence

Coordination:

• Kai Nagel, TU Berlin

Data:

- Public sources, where available
- Private sources, when needed or as occasion arises

Strategy:

- Kai Nagel, TU Berlin
- Kay Axhausen, ETH Zürich
- Fabrice Marchal, LET, Lyon

Coordination of the implementation and project management:

- Michael Balmer, ETH Zürich
  - Marcel Rieser, TU Berlin

- Michael Balmer, ETH
- David Charypar, ETH
- Yu Chen, TU Berlin
- Francesco Ciari, ETH
- Dominik Grether, TU Berlin
- Jeremy Hackney, ETH
- Andreas Horni, ETH
- Johannes Illenberger, TU Berlin
- Gregor Lämmel, TU Berlin
- Michael Löchl, ETH

- Fabrice Marchal, LET
- Konrad Meister, ETH
- Kai Nagel, TU Berlin
- Andreas Neumann, TU Berlin
- Marcel Rieser, TU Berlin
- Nadine Schüssler, ETH
- David Strippgen, TU Berlin
- Rashid Waraish, ETH

- Budget constraints
- Capability constraints
- Generalised costs of the schedule
  - Generalised cost of travel
  - Generalised cost of activity participation
    - Risk and comfort-adjusted weighted sums of time, expenditure and social content



Demand q are the i<sup>th</sup>movements of person p from the current location at time t on route (connection) r to location j. The resulting generalised costs k are used to adjust the schedules and to change the capacities C and prices P of facilities f

- Steady state (equilibrium) ?
- Aggregate demands ?
- Complete and perfect knowledge ?
- Optimised schedules ?
- Degrees of freedom and detail of scheduling
- Modelling of capacity restrictions (movement, activities) ?

- Scale: 7.5 mio agents, 1 mio facilities, 1 mio links and nodes
- Continuous time resolution;
- spatial resolution: individual facilities;
- Shared time-of-day dependent generalised costs of travel and activity participation
- Best-response models for schedules and routes
- (Random) imputation of mode and location
- Queuing for slots for movement (and activities)

### Preferred configuration: Initial demand generation

- Number and type of activities
- Sequence of activities
  - Start and duration of activity
  - Composition of the group undertaking the activity
  - Expenditure division
  - Location of the activity
    - Connection between sequential locations
      - Location of access and egress from the mean of transport
      - Vehicle/means of transport
      - Route/service
      - Group travelling together
      - Expenditure division

### Preferred configuration: (Iterative) activity scheduling

- Number and type of activities
- Sequence of activities
  - Start and duration of activity
  - Composition of the group undertaking the activity
  - Expenditure division
  - Location of the activity
    - Connection between sequential locations
      - Location of access and egress from the mean of transport
      - Vehicle/means of transport
      - Route/service
      - Group travelling together
      - Expenditure division

Movement:

- Queue-based simulation of car traffic
- (Traffic signal can be explicitly represented)
- No cycling, walking, public transport networks or timetables yet

Activities

- No competition for facilities yet
- Type- and location-specific opening hours
- Capacities are known

```
<person id="22018">
   <plan score="157.72" selected="yes">
         <act type="h" x="703600" y="236900" link="5757"</pre>
                                                        end time="07:35:04" />
         <leg num="0" mode="car" dep_time="07:35:04" trav_time="00:16:31">
                  <route>1900 1899 1897</route>
         </leg>
         <act type="w" x="702500" y="236400" link="5749" dur="08:12:05" />
         <leg num="1" mode="car" dep_time="16:03:40" trav_time="01:10:22">
                  <route>1899 1848 1925 1924 1923 1922 1068</route>
         </leg>
         <act type="l" x="681450" y="246550" link="2140" dur="01:20:00" />
         <leg num="2" mode="car" dep_time="" trav_time="00:34:35">
                  <route>1067 1136 1137 1921 1922 1923 1925 1848 1899</route>
         </leg>
         <act type="h" x="703600" y="236900" link="5757" />
   </plan>
</person>
```

### Iterative learning and its (schedule) utility function

$$U_{plan} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_{act,i} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} U_{trav,i-1,i}$$

$$U_{act,i} = U_{dur,i} + U_{late.ar,i}$$

- Initial demand ~ N<sub>agents</sub>
  - Location choice ~  $N_{agents} * [N_{facilities} \text{ or } R_{prism}^{\beta}]$
  - Mode choice ~ N<sub>agents</sub> \* N<sub>modes</sub>
- Optimising times and durations ~ N<sub>activities</sub><sup>α</sup>
- Shortest paths ~  $N_{nodes}^{\gamma}$
- Event-oriented traffic flow ~ N<sub>agents</sub> \* N<sub>links in a route</sub>
- Time-step traffic flow (1sec) ~ N<sub>links</sub>

In principle, scale all processes by 1/N<sub>CPU</sub>

### Example scenario: Study area and population



Directed links	60'492
Nodes	24'180
Agents within the study area	181'693
Average number of trips/agent	3.1
Trips (agents) crossing the study area	5'791
Number of modes/activity types	5/17
Number of homes (facilities)	1'313'337
Number of out-of-home activity facilities	382'979
Number of additional facilities abroad	880



### Score by iteration



Operation	Unit	Units/sec
Initial demand		0.12h
Scheduling (fixed components)		14.40h
Scheduling (planomat)	Agent	100
Scheduling (routing)	Agent	1000
Time-step based traffic flow simulation	Agent	300
Learning	Agent	250'000
Total iteration (with I/O)		0.22h
Total run (with I/O) (100 iterations)		23h

### Agents flowing to and from a link arriving at 16-17:00



### Home locations of the agents using a link from 16-17:00



### Outlook

- Improving the realism of the scenario (e.g. parameter distributions)
- Parameter estimation for the utility function
- Switzerland scenario in 12h to steady state
- Functional expansion the planomat (mode choice, destination choice sequencing of activities)
- Multi-modal traffic flow simulation

- Integration of social network data structures
- Explicit social network-based choices
- Interface to UrbanSim *et al.*
- Addition of supply agents (car sharing, demand responsive transport, retail location, parking pricing, road pricing) (Traffic control)

## www.matsim.org

### www.vsp.tu-berlin.de www.ivt.ethz.ch/vpl/publications/reports





#### Utility function: Activity performance





### Q-event: Approach without gaps





- Squeezing to avoid grid-lock
- Inflow capacity = 110% of outflow capacity (1800 veh/h\* lanes)
- Vehicles are served in order of arrival at the junctions

• C++ with binary data interface to MATSim-T



### Q-event: Integrated domain decomposition





### CH: Car availability (Census)



### CH: Car availability (modelled)



### CH: Season ticket ownership (modelled)



### CH: tour based mode use – car (modelled)



### CH: Tour-based mode use – public transport (modelled)



### CH: Mode choice – Observed share public transport



