Kowald, Matthias (2010) The spatial spread of leisure networks: Experiences from a snowball survey, *30<sup>th</sup> Sunbelt Social Networks Conference*, Riva del Garda, July 2010.

# The spatial spread of leisure networks: Experiences from a snowball survey

M. Kowald K.W. Axhausen

IVT ETH Zürich

July 2010





Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich Transport planning tries to:

Understand and model out-of-home movements of populations

Using the methods of SNA aims to:

• Approach and explain leisure traffic

Taking a snowball allows to:

Address the global structure of connected personal networks

## Survey instrument

• Ego's characteristics

- Name generator
  - Leisure contacts
  - Emotionally important contacts

• Name interpreter

• Sociogram

## **Snowball Sampling**

Challenges:

- Start with representative seeds
- Avoid selection bias
- React to homogenious clusters
- Correct the overrepresentation of ,socializers' and underrepresentation of ,isolates'



	Ego-seeds		Iteratio	Iteration 1 Iteration		on 2	1 2 Whole sample	
	[abs]	[%]	[abs]	[%]	[abs]	[%]	[abs]	[%]
Sample size	275		568		1462		2305	
Reidentified	-		-		201		201	
Valid addresses	247	100.0	377	100.0	855	100.0	1479	100.0
Participation w. recruitment	40	16.2	94	24.9	229	26.8	363	24.5
Participantion wo. recruitment	-		13	3.4	23	2.7	36	2.4
Participation total	40	16.2	107	28.3	252	29.5	399	26.9

## The fit between sample and target popultion

			All Seeds	Microcensus	All Alters	Microcensus
			(n = 40)	Canton Zurich	(n=7120)	Switzerland
[%]	Ger	- Male	30.77	48.80	42.78	48.70
nder	nder	- Female	69.23	51.20	57.32	51.30
Civil status [%]	Ci	- Single	20.00	32.70	20.32	29.90
	vil s	- Married	55.00	50.30	65.02	54.50
	tatu	- Divorced	10.00	9.30	8.00	7.60
	S	- Widowed	15.00	6.40	5.56	6.60
		- Living seperately	0.00	1.40	1.10	1.40
Age [Ø years/class]	Age	- 0 - 20	-	13.32	13.54	13.31
	- 21 - 40	31.57	31.51	33.36	31.41	
	- 41 - 60	50.05	49.84	49.48	49.96	
	- 61 - 80	72.17	69.28	68.50	69.37	
	- 81 +	81.00	84.46	84.05	84.61	

## Personal networks (of egos with sociogram)

Ego Alter	e Ego Alter				0
(N = 304)	Mean	Median	StDev.	Range	
Number of alters	20.48	19.00	9.80	36.00	
Number of relations	43.32	22.50	59.71	398.00	
Isolates	6.35	5.00	5.66	30.00	
Cliques	3.98	3.00	2.63	19.00	
Components (without isolates)	2.53	2.00	1.46	8.00	8

## Binomial negative regression model of network size

Variable	Beta	StError	Sign.
Constant	2.535	0.063	0.000
Graduate [y/n]	0.110	0.051	0.032
High household income (> 12.000CHF) [y/n]	0.251	0.055	0.000
Work time (full time employed) [y/n]	-0.097	0.053	0.067
Number of education places in course of live []	0.024	0.008	0.004
Number of cliques []	0.085	0.010	0.000
Centralization []	-0.535	0.185	0.004
Ν	296		
Likelihood ratio	χ = 118.020	df = 2	0.000



#### Behind egos' horizons: The connected 'snowball'-graph



#### Fieldwork:

- Small world experiment
- Sub-sample 3

Analysis:

- Predict geographical distances between egos and alters
- Predict contact modes and -frequencies

### Egos' contacts with alters: Modes and frequencies





### 95% confidence ellipses of egos' social spaces



Ex-ante assessment of respondent burden

## Protocol

Step	Ego-seeds	Iteration 1 and 2
Announcement letter	yes	yes
Recruitment call	yes	yes
Post card of Ego	-	if permitted
Interview (+ incentive)	only	-
Written instrument (+ incentive)	if requested	only
Diary survey	only participants	only participants

## Linear regression of contact distances

Variable	Beta	StError	Sign.
Constant	1.832	0.310	0.000
Alter 1. degree relative [y/n]	0.737	0.097	0.000
Alter other relative [y/n]	0.971	0.103	0.000
Ego retired [y/n]	0.347	0.078	0.000
Ego graduated [y/n]	0.425	0.069	0.000
Ego working full time [y/n]	-0.133	0.077	0.086
Ego GA [y/n]	0.164	0.083	0.048
Ego other adults in HH [y/n]	-0.837	0.301	0.005
Ego number 1. residents []	0.065	0.013	0.000
Ego network size []	0.011	0.004	0.006
Ν	3594		
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.071		