Exact and Approximate Shortest-Path Queries for Planar Graphs

Christian Sommer csom@mit.edu www.sommer.jp

Joint work with Shay Mozes (exact q.) and Philip N. Klein and Ken-ichi Kawarabayashi (approximate)

15 February 2011, NII Shonan Workshop

Motivation



- ◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ = 三 - ∽��?

Motivation





・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

æ



Shortest-Path Queries / Distance Oracles

• Preprocess a graph G with n nodes and m edges ...

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- ✤ ... to create a Data Structure, using which ...
- ✤ … we can efficiently answer Distance Queries.
 - ✤ d(u, v)

Shortest-Path Queries / Distance Oracles

- Preprocess a graph G with n nodes and m edges ...
- ✤ ... to create a Data Structure, using which ...
- ✤ ... we can efficiently answer Approximate Distance Queries.
 ♦ *d̃*(*u*, *v*)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Distance between u and v in graph G: $d_G(u, v)$
- Oracle Result $\tilde{d}(u, v)$ satisfies

$$d_G(u,v) \leqslant \widetilde{d}(u,v) \leqslant \alpha \cdot d_G(u,v).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

 \clubsuit Multiplicative Stretch α

Practical

✤ Focus on Transportation Networks

Theoretical

- ✤ General, undirected graphs
- Restricted classes (planar, bounded tree-width, minor-closed,...)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Shortest-Path Queries in Transportation Networks

- Main focus, large body of research since 60's/70's
- Big progress around 2006 (DIMACS Implementation Challenge)
 - \clubsuit Preprocessing: tens of minutes for road map of the US/EU
 - $\clubsuit~$ Query time: $\approx 10^6$ times faster than Dijkstra's algorithm
- Ideas
 - ✤ Geometry, coordinates, A* search [SV86]
 - ✤ Goal-directed search (A* for graphs) [GH05]
 - ✤ Hierarchical structures [SS05, BFSS07, BD08, BDS⁺08]
- Heuristics that work very well for road networks (separators)

✤ Visit only edges of shortest path

Space vs. Query Time Tradeoffs: Experimental Results



(日)、

Practical

Focus on Transportation Networks

Theoretical

- General, undirected graphs
- Restricted graph classes (planar, bounded tree-width, minor-closed,...)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

<u>Outline</u>

Introduction

Planar Separators

✤ Approximate Distance Oracles

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- Exact Distance Oracles
- Conclusion

<u>Planar Separators, Graph G = (V, E)</u>

Partition V into V_1, V_2, S such that $|V_1|, |V_2| \leq \frac{n}{2}$, no edge between V_1, V_2 , and

Lipton and Tarjan [LT80], Miller [Mil86]

• s.t.
$$|S| = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$$

- ✤ Shortest paths may cross S up to $O(\sqrt{n})$ times
- ✤ Thorup [Tho04]
 - * s.t. S consists of 3 shortest paths
 - ✤ can be extended to minor-closed families [AG06]
- Dieng and Gavoille [DG09]
 - ✤ s.t. S consists of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ shortest paths of length "tree-length"

r-divisions [Fre87]

separate recursively (e.g. using [Mil86]) into

- O(n/r) regions
- region size O(r)
- ✤ region boundary $O(\sqrt{r}) \rightsquigarrow$ total boundary $O(n/\sqrt{r})$



<u>Outline</u>

- Introduction
- Planar Separators

✤ Approximate Distance Oracles

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- Exact Distance Oracles
- Conclusion

$(1 + \epsilon)$ –Approximate Shortest-Path Queries; Planar G

Preprocessing	Space	Query	Reference
$O(n\epsilon^{-2}\lg^4 n)$	$O(n \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \lg^2 n)$	$O(\epsilon^{-1} + \lg \lg n)$	[Tho04, Thm.3.16]
$O(n\epsilon^{-1}\lg^3 n)$	$O(n \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \lg^2 n)$	$O(\epsilon^{-1} + \lg n \lg \lg n)$	[Tho04, Prop.3.14]
$O(n(\epsilon^{-1} + \lg n) \lg^2 n)$	$O(n \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \lg^2 n)$	$O(\epsilon^{-1} + \lg n \lg \lg n)$	[Kle05, Sec.7]
$O(n\epsilon^{-2}\lg^4 n)$	O(n)	$O(\epsilon^{-2} \lg^3 n)$	NEW
$O(n\epsilon^{-2}\lg^3 n)$	$O(n \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \lg n)$	$O(\epsilon^{-1})$	[Tho04, Thm.3.19]
$O(n\epsilon^{-1}\lg^2 n)$	$O(n \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \lg n)$	$O(\epsilon^{-1} \lg n)$	[Tho04, Implicit]
$O(n \lg^2 n)$	O(n)	$O(\epsilon^{-2} \lg^2 n)$	NEW

Assumption for this table: largest integer weight N = O(poly(n))(complexity of oracles for planar digraphs depends on N)

$(1 + \epsilon)$ –Approximate Shortest-Path Queries; Planar G

Preprocessing	Space	Query	Reference
$O(n\epsilon^{-2}\lg^4 n)$	$O(n \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \lg^2 n)$	$O(\epsilon^{-1} + \lg \lg n)$	[Tho04, Thm.3.16]
$O(n\epsilon^{-1}\lg^3 n)$	$O(n \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \lg^2 n)$	$O(\epsilon^{-1} + \lg n \lg \lg n)$	[Tho04, Prop.3.14]
$O(n(\epsilon^{-1} + \lg n) \lg^2 n)$	$O(n \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \lg^2 n)$	$O(\epsilon^{-1} + \lg n \lg \lg n)$	[Kle05, Sec.7]
$O(n\epsilon^{-2}\lg^4 n)$	<i>O</i> (<i>n</i>)	$O(\epsilon^{-2} \lg^3 n)$	NEW
$O(n\epsilon^{-2}\lg^3 n)$	$O(n \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \lg n)$	$O(\epsilon^{-1})$	[Tho04, Thm.3.19]
$O(n\epsilon^{-1}\lg^2 n)$	$O(n \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \lg n)$	$O(\epsilon^{-1} \lg n)$	[Tho04, Implicit]
$O(n \lg^2 n)$	<i>O</i> (<i>n</i>)	$O(\epsilon^{-2} \lg^2 n)$	NEW

Assumption for this table: largest integer weight N = O(poly(n))(complexity of oracles for planar digraphs depends on N)

Main Techniques of Thorup's Distance Oracle

 $\boldsymbol{\clubsuit}$ Representation of paths that intersect Q

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ ▲□ ● ● ●







æ



æ

Э

Space Consumption of Thorup's Distance Oracle

- Recursive partition using 3 shortest paths Q per level
 O(log n) shortest-path separators per node
- ✤ Representation of paths that intersect Q
 ✤ store O(1/ε) connections
- ✤ Total storage: $O(\epsilon^{-1} \log n)$ connections per node

Linear-Space Distance Oracle: Main Idea

- ✤ store connections for few nodes (landmarks) → boundary of *r*-division!
- ✤ at query time, search landmark then use [Tho04]



- ロ > - 4 母 > - 4 目 > - 4 目 - 9 へ ()





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ ▲□ ● ● ●





▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ 三回 めんの









æ

$(1 + \epsilon)$ –Approximate Shortest-Path Queries; Planar G

Preprocessing	Space	Query	Reference
$O(n\epsilon^{-2}\lg^4 n)$	$O(n \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \lg^2 n)$	$O(\epsilon^{-1} + \lg \lg n)$	[Tho04, Thm.3.16]
$O(n\epsilon^{-1}\lg^3 n)$	$O(n \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \lg^2 n)$	$O(\epsilon^{-1} + \lg n \lg \lg n)$	[Tho04, Prop.3.14]
$O(n(\epsilon^{-1} + \lg n) \lg^2 n)$	$O(n \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \lg^2 n)$	$O(\epsilon^{-1} + \lg n \lg \lg n)$	[Kle05, Sec.7]
$O(n\epsilon^{-2}\lg^4 n)$	<i>O</i> (<i>n</i>)	$O(\epsilon^{-2} \lg^3 n)$	NEW
$O(n\epsilon^{-2}\lg^3 n)$	$O(n \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \lg n)$	$O(\epsilon^{-1})$	[Tho04, Thm.3.19]
$O(n\epsilon^{-1}\lg^2 n)$	$O(n \cdot \epsilon^{-1} \lg n)$	$O(\epsilon^{-1} \lg n)$	[Tho04, Implicit]
$O(n \lg^2 n)$	<i>O</i> (<i>n</i>)	$O(\epsilon^{-2} \lg^2 n)$	NEW

Assumption for this table: largest integer weight N = O(poly(n))(complexity of oracles for planar digraphs depends on N)

<u>Outline</u>

- Introduction
- Planar Separators
- ✤ Approximate Distance Oracles

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- ✤ Exact Distance Oracles
- Conclusion

r-divisions [Fre87]

separate recursively (e.g. using [Mil86]) into

- O(n/r) regions
- region size O(r)
- ✤ region boundary $O(\sqrt{r}) \rightsquigarrow$ total boundary $O(n/\sqrt{r})$



r-divisions [Fre87]

separate recursively (e.g. using [Mil86]) into

- O(n/r) regions
- * region size O(r)
- ✤ region boundary $O(\sqrt{r}) \rightsquigarrow$ total boundary $O(n/\sqrt{r})$

Idea: store connections among boundary nodes

- space $O(n^2/r)$
- query time O(r) (explore region, compute min boundary pair)



- 4 日 > - 4 目 > - 4 目 > - 目 - - の Q ()・





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

How To Use r-divisions [Dji96]



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Hot To Use r-divisions [Dji96]



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ()



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ 三副 - の々で

Planarity-Exploiting Search at Query Time [Dji96]



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



◆□> <個> <=> <=> <=> <=> <=> <=> <<=>

Hot To Use r-divisions [Cab06]



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

How To Use r-divisions [Cab06]



(ロ)、

Efficient Representation of Connection to Boundary



(ロ) (国) (E) (E) (E) (O)(C)

Klein's MSSP data structure [Kle05]

preprocess G, f $O(n \lg n)$ time & space

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで



Klein's MSSP data structure [Kle05]



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Efficient Representation of Connection to Boundary



(ロ) (国) (E) (E) (E) (O)(C)



★□> ★@> ★E> ★E> E のQQ



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ○ = ○ ○ ○ ○



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - 釣�?

New Cycle-MSSP data structure



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○臣 ○ のへ⊙

New Cycle-MSSP data structure



New Batched Cycle-MSSP data structure



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - 釣�?

Space vs. Query Time Tradeoffs: Experimental Results



(日)、

Hilger et al. [HKMS09, Section 6]

In all cases, the search space of our arc-flag method is never larger than ten times the actual number of nodes on the shortest paths.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

... and the Corresponding Theoretical Result

Can prove that

In all cases, the search space of our method is never larger than ten $O(\lg^2 \ell)$ times the actual number of nodes on length ℓ of the shortest paths.

Query Time Proportional to Path Length: Brief Sketch



<u>Outline</u>

- Introduction
- Planar Separators
- ✤ Approximate Distance Oracles

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- Exact Distance Oracles
- Conclusion

Contributions and Outlook

 Query Time (quasi-) proportional to Shortest-Path Length (almost matches experimental results)

- Application determines how much space S ≥ m, our tradeoffs tell how to use it for fast query time Q both for approximate and exact

Srinivasa Rao Arikati, Danny Z. Chen, L. Paul Chew, Gautam Das, Michiel H. M. Smid, and Christos D. Zaroliagis.

Planar spanners and approximate shortest path queries among obstacles in the plane.

In Algorithms - ESA '96, Fourth Annual European Symposium, Barcelona, Spain, September 25-27, 1996, Proceedings, pages 514–528, 1996.

Ittai Abraham and Cyril Gavoille.

Object location using path separators.

In Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth Annual ACM Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing, PODC 2006, Denver, CO, USA, July 23-26, 2006, pages 188–197, 2006.

Details in LaBRI Research Report RR-1394-06.



Reinhard Bauer and Daniel Delling.

SHARC: Fast and robust unidirectional routing.

In Proceedings of the 10th Workshop on Algorithm Engineering and Experiments (ALENEX'08), pages 13–26, 2008.

Reinhard Bauer, Daniel Delling, Peter Sanders, Dennis Schieferdecker, Dominik Schultes, and Dorothea Wagner.

In Experimental Algorithms, 7th International Workshop (WEA'08), Provincetown, MA, USA, May 30-June 1, 2008, Proceedings, pages 303–318, 2008.

Holger Bast, Stefan Funke, Peter Sanders, and Dominik Schultes. Fast routing in road networks with transit nodes.

Science, 316(5824):566, 2007.

Sergio Cabello.

Many distances in planar graphs.

In Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2006, Miami, Florida, USA, January 22-26, 2006, pages 1213–1220, 2006.

A preprint of the journal version is available in the University of Ljubljana preprint series, Vol. 47 (2009), 1089.

Danny Z. Chen and Jinhui Xu.

Shortest path queries in planar graphs.

In Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC), pages 469–478, 2000.

Youssou Dieng and Cyril Gavoille.

On the tree-width of planar graphs.

Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics, 34:593-596, 2009. E + (E +) E - O a @

European Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications (EuroComb 2009).



Hristo Djidjev.

Efficient algorithms for shortest path problems on planar digraphs.

In Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science, 22nd International Workshop, WG '96, Cadenabbia (Como), Italy, June 12-14, 1996, Proceedings, pages 151–165, 1996.



Jittat Fakcharoenphol and Satish Rao.

Planar graphs, negative weight edges, shortest paths, and near linear time. Journal of Computer and System Sciences, 72(5):868–889, 2006. Announced at FOCS 2001.



Greg N. Frederickson.

Fast algorithms for shortest paths in planar graphs, with applications. SIAM Journal on Computing, 16(6):1004–1022, 1987.



Andrew V. Goldberg and Chris Harrelson.

Computing the shortest path: A* search meets graph theory.

Moritz Hilger, Ekkehard Köhler, Rolf H. Möhring, and Heiko Schilling.

Fast point-to-point shortest path computations with arc-flags.

DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, 74:41–72, 2009.

Papers of the 9th DIMACS Implementation Challenge: Shortest Paths, 2006.



Philip Nathan Klein.

Multiple-source shortest paths in planar graphs.

In Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA), pages 146–155, 2005.

Richard J. Lipton and Robert Endre Tarjan.

Applications of a planar separator theorem.

SIAM Journal on Computing, 9(3):615–627, 1980. Announced at FOCS 1977.



Gary L. Miller.

Finding small simple cycle separators for 2-connected planar graphs. Journal of Computer and System Sciences, 32(3):265–279, 1986. Announced at STOC 1984.



Highway hierarchies hasten exact shortest path queries. 🗗 🖌 🗧 🗧 🖉 🧠 🕾

In Algorithms - ESA 2005, 13th Annual European Symposium, Palma de Mallorca, Spain, October 3-6, 2005, Proceedings, pages 568–579, 2005.

Robert Sedgewick and Jeffrey Scott Vitter.

Shortest paths in euclidean graphs.

<u>Algorithmica</u>, 1(1):31–48, 1986. Announced at FOCS 1984.



Mikkel Thorup.

Compact oracles for reachability and approximate distances in planar digraphs.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Journal of the ACM, 51(6):993-1024, 2004.

Announced at FOCS 2001.