### Agent-based Modelling of Parking Choice and Search

Rashid A. Waraich

IVT, ETH Zurich

November 2014





Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

# **Motivation**

#### **Parking Policy**

- Manage travel demand
- Sometimes alternative to road pricing
- Minimum/maximum parking requirements
- Influence search traffic (average 30%)
- Influence of new infrastructure projects

Recent developments:

- Renting private parking
- Performance based parking prices
- Promote electric vehicles







# Challenges of Current Models

- Mostly aggregated
- Often very coarse time resolution
- Often limited modelling of spatial constraints
- Individual decisions missing or limited
- Integration between parking and traffic model missing etc.

# Agent-based Modelling (Example Singapore – MATSim)



# How do we Model Travel Demand?

- MATSim (open source)
- Synthetic population: people -> agents
- Individual preferences (based on survey data)
- Initial plans based on census data/travel diaries
- Plans contain acitivites (work, shopping, education) and trips
- Several transport modes available (car, walk, public transport and bike)
- Optimization of activity and travel demand for whole day
- First step of optimization: simulation



# Simulation



# MATSim

- simulated plans are scored
- Lower travel time and performing activities gives better score
- The goal of each agent is to maximize its score
- Iterative process, based on idea of evolutionary algorithm
- Replanning (change travel mode, route, times, etc.)
- Co-existence of several plans
  - Bad plans deleted over time, good plans have higher chance of getting selected for execution -> survival of the fittest
  - Iteration continues -> optimal plans ("Nash Equilibrium")



# How is Parking Modelled in MATSim

- Parking choice model (very fast)
- Parking search (allows to model missing search traffic)











$$U_{parking,i} = U_{P_{cost},i} + U_{P_{searchTime},i} + U_{P_{walk},i} + \dots + \epsilon_i$$





$$U_{parking,i} = U_{P_{cost},i} + U_{P_{searchTime},i} + U_{P_{walk},i} + \dots + \epsilon_i$$

## Individual Parking Utility Scores

Parking situation: search time = 3 min; walk time=3min; parking cost=4 CHF; activity duration ca. 5 hours.



P1: female, age 20

P2: male, age 80

Income both: 4000 CHF/month

$$U_{plan} = \sum U_{travelTime} + U_{travelCost} + U_{performAct} + \dots + U_{parking}$$



# Sample Policy: Reduce Peak Traffic

- Goal: reduce traffic on links with highest traffic volume during evening peak hours (16:00 to 19:00)
- Approach:
  - Identify high volume links (top 10%)
  - Identify agents traveling on these links
  - Identify activity location of previous and next activities
  - Identify clusters of activities
  - Reduce parking capacity in clusters by 30% resp. 100%
- Alternative goal:
  - Select not highest volume, but most congested links during peak hour

## Sample Policy: Reduce Peak Traffic



time

# Performance-based Pricing for Zurich

• Currently: High prices for garage parking, low prices for street parking.



Modelling Parking Search

General Structure of Parking Search Strategies



# Strategy Group Switches



Strategy switches between groups (10 groups)

- a) During initialization (10 iterations) => random switches
- b) At 80%MNL (final last 100 iterations)
- c) At full MNL (final 100 iterations) => most agents do not change strategy group or switch within same strategy group

#### Traffic Counts Difference (Missing Parking Search Traffic)



# Usage of Garage Parking Strategies



# Conclusions & Future Work

- Modelling parking decisions and traffic
  - Disaggregated
  - Equilibrium model
- Various applications/extend models

## Questions